arXiv:1409.4662v1 [math.FA] 13 Sep 2014

AN EXPLICIT ITERATIVE METHOD TO SOLVE GENERALIZED MIXED EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM, VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY PROBLEM AND HIERARCHICAL FIXED POINT PROBLEM FOR A NEARLY NONEXPANSIVE MAPPING

IBRAHIM KARAHAN*

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new iterative method to find a common solution of a generalized mixed equilibrium problem, a variational inequality problem and a hierarchical fixed point problem for a demicontinuous nearly nonexpansive mapping. We prove that the proposed method converges strongly to a common solution of above problems under the suitable conditions. It is also noted that the main theorem is proved without usual demiclosedness condition. Also, under the appropriate assumptions on the control sequences and operators, our iterative method can be reduced to recent methods. So, the results here improve and extend some recent corresponding results given by many other authors.

1. Introduction

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$, C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H. Let $G : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction, $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of all real numbers and B be a nonlinear mapping. The generalized mixed equilibrium problem $(GMEP)$, is finding a point $x \in C$ such that

$$G(x, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) + \langle Bx, y - x \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C. \tag{1.1}$$

The set of solutions of the problem (1.1) is denoted by $GMEP(G, \varphi, B)$. In the problem (1.1), if we take $B = 0$, then the generalized mixed equilibrium problem is reduced to mixed equilibrium problem, denoted by MEP, which is to find a point $x \in C$ such that

$$G(x, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C.$$

The set of solutions of the mixed equilibrium problem is denoted by $MEP(G, \varphi)$. In the case of $\varphi = 0$ in the problem (1.1), then the generalized mixed equilibrium problem is reduced to generalized equilibrium problem, denoted by GEP, which is to find a point $x \in C$ such that

$$G(x, y) + \langle Bx, y - x \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C$$

whose set of solutions is denoted by $GEP(G, B)$. If we take $\varphi = 0$ and $G(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in C$, then the generalized mixed equilibrium problem is equivalent to

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49J40; 47H10; 47H09; 47H05.

Key words and phrases. Generalized mixed equilibrium problem, variational inequality; hierarchical fixed point, projection method, nearly nonexpansive mapping.

find a $x \in C$ such that

$$\langle Bx, y - x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \tag{1.2}$$

which is called the variational inequality problem, denoted by $VI(C, B)$. The solution of $VI(C, B)$ is denoted by Ω, i.e.,

$$\Omega = \{x \in C : \langle Bx, y - x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}.$$

The generalized mixed equilibrium problem is very general in the sense that it includes, as special cases, the optimization problem, the variational inequality problem, the fixed point problem, the nonlinear complementarity problem, the Nash equilibrium problem in noncooperative games, the vector optimization problem, the saddle poin problem, the minimization problem and so forth. Hence, some solution methods have been studied to solve generalized mixed equilibrium problem by many authors; see, for example [1–6].

On the other hand, we consider another problem called as hierarchical fixed point problem. Let $S : C \to H$ be a mapping. To hierarchically find a fixed point of a mapping T with respect to another mapping S is to find an $x^* \in Fix(T)$ such that

$$\langle x^* - Sx^*, x - x^*\rangle \geq 0, \;\; x \in Fix(T), \tag{1.3}$$

where $Fix(T)$ is the set of fixed points of T, i.e., $Fix(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$. It is known that the hierarchical fixed point problem (1.3) is related with some monotone variational inequalities and convex programming problems; see [7–10]. Hence, various methods to solve the hierarchical fixed point problem have been studied by many authors; see, for example [8, 11–14] and the references therein.

Now, we give some definitions of nonlinear mappings which are used in the next sections. Let $T : C \to H$ be a mapping. If there exits a constant $L > 0$ such that $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$, then T is called L-Lipschitzian. In particular, if $L \in [0, 1)$, then T is said to be a contraction; if $L = 1$, then T is called a nonexpansive mapping. Let fix a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in $[0, \infty)$ with $a_n \to 0$. If the inequality $\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq \|x - y\| + a_n$ holds for all $x, y \in C$ and $n \geq 1$, then T is said to be nearly nonexpansive [15, 16] with respect to $\{a_n\}$. It is clear that the class of nearly nonexpansive mappings is a wider class of nonexpansive mappings. A mapping $F : C \to H$ is called η-strongly monotone operator if there exists a constant $\eta \geq 0$ such that

$$\langle Fx - Fy, x - y\rangle \geq \eta\|x - y\|^2, \;\; \forall x, y \in C.$$

In particular, if $\eta = 0$, then F is said to be monotone.

Below, we gather some iterative processes which are related with the problems (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3).

In 2011, Ceng et al. [17] introduced the following iterative method:

$$x_{n+1} = P_C[\alpha_n \rho V x_n + (1 - \alpha_n \mu F) Tx_n], \forall n \geq 1, \tag{1.4}$$

where F is a L-Lipschitzian and η-strongly monotone operator with constants $L, \eta > 0$ and V is a γ-Lipschitzian (possibly non-self) mapping with constant $\gamma \geq 0$ such that $0 < \mu < \frac{2\eta}{L^2}$ and $0 \leq \rho\gamma < 1 - \sqrt{1 - \mu(2\eta - \mu L^2)}$. Under the suitable conditions, they proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.4) converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle(\rho V - \mu F) x^*, x - x^*\rangle \leq 0, \;\; \forall x \in Fix(T). \tag{1.5}$$

Recently, motivated by the iteration method (1.4), Wang and Xu [18] introduced the following iterative method to find a solution for a hierarchical fixed point problem:

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \beta_n S x_n + (1-\beta_n)\, x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = P_C\left[\alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I - \alpha_n \mu F)\, T y_n\right], \ \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where $S, T : C \to C$ are nonexpansive mappings, $V : C \to H$ is a γ-Lipschitzian mapping and $F : C \to H$ is a L-Lipschitzian and η-strongly monotone operator. They proved that under some approximate assumptions on parameters, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.6) converges strongly to the hierarchical fixed point of T with respect to the mapping S which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (1.5). So, the iterative method (1.6) is a generalization form of the various methods of other authors.

Very recently, Bnouhachem and Noor [19] introduced the following iterative scheme to approach a common solution of a variational inequality problem, a generalized equilibrium problem and a hierarchical fixed point problem:

$$\begin{cases} G(u_n, y) + \langle Bx, y - u_n\rangle + \frac{1}{r_n}\langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\ z_n = P_C\left(u_n - \lambda_n A u_n\right), \\ y_n = P_C\left(\beta_n S x_n + (1-\beta_n) z_n\right), \\ x_{n+1} = P_C\left(\alpha_n f x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) V_i y_n\right), \forall n \geq 1 \end{cases}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $V_i = k_i I + (1-k_i) T_i$, $0 \leq k_i < 1$, $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} : C \to C$ is a countable family of k_i-strict pseudo-contraction mappings, A and B are inverse strongly monotone mappings. They proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.7) converges strongly to a point $z \in P_{\Omega \cap GEP(G,B) \cap Fix(T)} f(z)$ which is the unique solution of the following variational inequality:

$$\langle (I - f) z, x - z\rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in \Omega \cap GEP(G) \cap Fix(T),$$

where $Fix(T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Fix(T_i)$.

In this paper, motivated and inspired by the above iterative methods, we introduce an iterative projection method. Also, we prove a strong convergence theorem to compute an approximate element of the common set of solutions of a generalized mixed equilibrium problem, a variational inequality problem and a hierarchically fixed point problem for a nearly nonexpansive mapping. The proposed method generalizes many known results; for example, Yao et. al. [8], Marino and Xu [12], Ceng et. al. [17], Wang and Xu [18], Moudafi [20], Xu [21], Tian [23] and Suzuki [24] and the references therein.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we gather some useful lemmas and definitions which we need for the next section. Throughout this paper, we use " $\to$ " and " $\rightharpoonup$ " for the strong and weak convergence, respectively. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. It is known that for any $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in C denoted by $P_C x$ such that

$$\|x - P_C x\| = \inf_{y \in C} \|x - y\|, \forall x \in H$$

The mapping $P_C : H \to C$ is called a metric projection. For a metric projection P_C, the following inequalities are hold:

(1) $\|P_C x - P_C y\| \leq \|x - y\|$, $\forall x, y \in H$,

(2) $\langle x-y, P_Cx-P_Cy\rangle \geq \|P_Cx-P_Cy\|^2, \forall x,y\in H$,
(3) $\langle x-P_Cx, P_Cx-y\rangle \geq 0, \forall x\in H, y\in C$.

Lemma 1. *[17] Let $V: C\to H$ be a γ-Lipschitzian mapping with a constant $\gamma\geq 0$ and let $F: C\to H$ be a L-Lipschitzian and η-strongly monotone operator with constants $L,\eta>0$. Then for $0\leq \rho\gamma<\mu\eta$,*

$$\langle(\mu F-\rho V)x-(\mu F-\rho V)y, x-y\rangle \geq (\mu\eta-\rho\gamma)\|x-y\|^2,\ \forall x,y\in C.$$

That is, $\mu F-\rho V$ is strongly monotone with coefficient $\mu\eta-\rho\gamma$.

Lemma 2. *[25] Let C be a nonempty subset of a real Hilbert space H. Suppose that $\lambda\in(0,1)$ and $\mu>0$. Let $F: C\to H$ be a L-Lipschitzian and η-strongly monotone operator on C. Define the mapping $g: C\to H$ by*

$$g:=I-\lambda\mu F$$

Then, g is a contraction that provided $\mu<\frac{2\eta}{L^2}$. More precisely, for $\mu\in\left(0,\frac{2\eta}{L^2}\right)$,

$$\|g(x)-g(y)\|\leq(1-\lambda\nu)\|x-y\|,\ \forall x,y\in C,$$

where $\nu=1-\sqrt{1-\mu(2\eta-\mu L^2)}$.

Lemma 3. *[21] Assume that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the conditions*

$$x_{n+1}\leq(1-\alpha_n)x_n+\alpha_n\beta_n,\ \forall n\geq 1$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences of real numbers such that

(i) $\{\alpha_n\}\subset[0,1]$ *and* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n=\infty$,

(ii) $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\beta_n\leq 0$.

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=0$.

For solving an equilibrium problem for a bifunction $G: C\times C\to\mathbb{R}$, let assume that G satisfies the following conditions:

(A1) $G(x,x)=0, \forall x\in C$,
(A2) G is monotone, i.e. $G(x,y)+G(y,x)\leq 0, \forall x,y\in C$,
(A3) $\forall x,y,z\in C$,

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}G(tz+(1-t)x,y)\leq G(x,y),$$

(A4) $\forall x\in C$, $y\longmapsto G(x,y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
(B1) For each $x\in H$ and $r>0$, there exist a bounded subset $D_x\subseteq C$ and $y_x\in C$ such that for any $z\in C\backslash D_x$

$$G(z,y_x)+\varphi(y_x)-\varphi(z)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y_x-z, z-x\rangle<0;$$

(B2) C is a bounded set.

Lemma 4. *[1] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hİlbert space H. Let $G: C\times C\to\mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4) and let $\varphi: C\to\mathbb{R}$ be a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function. Assume that either (B1) or (B2) holds. For $r>0$ and $x\in H$, define a mapping $T_r: H\to C$ as follows:*

$$T_r(x)=\left\{z\in C: G(z,y)+\varphi(y)-\varphi(z)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle\geq 0,\ \forall y\in C\right\}$$

for all $x \in H$. Then, the following hold:

(1) *For each $x \in H$, $T_r(x) \neq \emptyset$*
(2) *T_r is single valued,*
(3) *T_r is firmly nonexpansive i.e.*

$$\|T_r x - T_r y\|^2 \leq \langle T_r x - T_r y, x - y \rangle, \ \forall x, y \in H,$$

(4) *$Fix(T_r) = MEP(G)$,*
(5) *$MEP(G)$ is closed and convex.*

Now, we give the definitions of a demicontinuous mapping, asymptotic radius and asmptotic center.

Let C be a nonempty subset of a Banach space X and $T : C \to C$ be a mapping. For a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in C which converges strongly to $x \in X$, if $\{Tx_n\}$ converges weakly to Tx, then T is called demicontinuous.

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X, $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in X and $r : C \to [0, \infty)$ be a functional defined by

$$r(x) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - x\|, \ x \in C.$$

The infimum of $r(\cdot)$ over C is called asymptotic radius of $\{x_n\}$ with respect to C and is denoted by $r(C, \{x_n\})$. A point $w \in C$ is said to be an asymptotic center of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ with respect to C if

$$r(w) = \min\{r(x) : x \in C\}.$$

The set of all asymptotic centers is denoted by $A(C, \{x_n\})$. Related with these definitions, we will use the followings in our main results.

Theorem 1. *[16] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X satisfying the Opial condition. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in C such that $x_n \rightharpoonup w$, then w is the asymptotic center of $\{x_n\}$ in C.*

Lemma 5. *[26] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X and $T : C \to C$ be a demicontinuous nearly Lipschitzian mapping with sequence $\{a_n, \eta(T^n)\}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta(T^n) \leq 1$. If $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in C such that*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \left(\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - T^m x_n\| \right) = 0 \text{ and } A(C, \{x_n\}) = \{w\},$$

then w is a fixed point of T.

3. Main Results

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $A, B : C \to H$ be α, θ-inverse strongly monotone mappings, respectively. Let $G : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying assumptions (A1)-(A4), $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex function, $S : C \to H$ be a nonexpansive and T be a demicontinuous nearly nonexpansive mapping with the sequence $\{a_n\}$ such that $F := Fix(T) \cap \Omega \cap GMEP(G, \varphi, B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $V : C \to H$ be a γ-Lipschitzian mapping, $F : C \to H$ be a L-Lipschitzian and η-strongly monotone operator such that these coefficients satisfy $0 < \mu < \frac{2\eta}{L^2}$, $0 \leq \rho\gamma < \nu$, where $\nu = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \mu(2\eta - \mu L^2)}$.

Assume that either (B1) or (B2) holds. For an arbitrarily initial value x_1, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in C generated by

$$\begin{cases} G(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle Bx_n, y - u_n\rangle + \frac{1}{r_n}\langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\ z_n = P_C(u_n - \lambda_n A u_n), \\ y_n = P_C[\beta_n S x_n + (1-\beta_n) z_n], \\ x_{n+1} = P_C[\alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T^n y_n], \ n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, 2\alpha)$, $\{r_n\} \subset (0, 2\theta)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$.

It is known that convergence of a sequence depends on the choice of the control sequences and mappings. So, we consider the following hypotheses on our control sequences and mappings:

$(C1)$ $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;

$(C2)$ $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n} = 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n} = 0$
$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n} = 0$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|r_n - r_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n} = 0$;

$(C3)$ $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T^n x - T^{n-1} x\| = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|T^n x - T^{n-1} x\|}{\alpha_n} = 0, \forall x \in C$.

Before giving the main theorem, we have to prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 6. *Assume that the conditions $(C1)$ and $(C2)$ hold. Let $p \in F$. Then, the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ generated by (3.1) are bounded.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the mappings $I - r_n B$ and $I - \lambda_n A$ are nonexpansive. Indeed, since $\{r_n\} \subset (0, 2\theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - r_n B)x - (I - r_n B)y\|^2 &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2r_n \langle x - y, Bx - By\rangle + r_n^2 \|Bx - By\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2r_n \theta \|Bx - By\| + r_n^2 \|Bx - By\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - r_n(2\theta - r_n)\|Bx - By\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, 2\alpha)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \lambda_n A)x - (I - \lambda_n A)y\|^2 &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \langle x - y, Ax - Ay\rangle + \lambda_n^2 \|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - \lambda_n(2\alpha - \lambda_n)\|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that $u_n = T_{r_n}(x_n - r_n B x_n)$. Let $p \in F$. So, we get $p = T_{r_n}(p - r_n B p)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\|^2 &= \|T_{r_n}(x_n - r_n B x_n) - T_{r_n}(p - r_n B p)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(x_n - r_n B x_n) - (p - r_n B p)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - r_n(2\theta - r_n)\|Bx_n - Bp\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

By using (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|z_n - p\|^2 &= \|P_C(u_n - \lambda_n A u_n) - P_C(p - \lambda_n A p)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_n - p - \lambda_n(Au_n - Ap)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 - \lambda_n(2\alpha - \lambda_n)\|Au_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

So, from (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_n - p\| &= \|P_C[\beta_n S x_n + (1-\beta_n)x_n] - P_C p\| \\ &\leq \|\beta_n S x_n + (1-\beta_n)z_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1-\beta_n)\|z_n - p\| + \beta_n\|Sx_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1-\beta_n)\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n\|Sx_n - Sp\| + \beta_n\|Sp - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n\|Sp - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\beta_n \leq \alpha_n$, for all $n \geq 1$. This gives us $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta_n = 0$. Let $t_n = \alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I - \alpha_n \mu F)T^n y_n$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|P_C t_n - P_C p\| \\ &\leq \|t_n - p\| \\ &= \|\alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I - \alpha_n \mu F)T^n y_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n\|\rho V x_n - \mu F p\| + \|(I - \alpha_n \mu F)T^n y_n - (I - \alpha_n \mu F)T^n p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \rho\gamma\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|\rho V p - \mu F p\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n \nu)(\|y_n - p\| + a_n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

So, it follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \alpha_n \rho\gamma\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|\rho V p - \mu F p\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n \nu)(\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n\|Sp - p\| + a_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n(\nu - \rho\gamma))\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\left(\|\rho V p - \mu F p\| + \|Sp - p\| + \frac{a_n}{\alpha_n}\right) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n(\nu - \rho\gamma))\|x_n - p\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n(\nu - \rho\gamma)\left[\frac{1}{(\nu - \rho\gamma)}\left(\|\rho V p - \mu F p\| + \|Sp - p\| + \frac{a_n}{\alpha_n}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From condition $(C2)$, there exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|\rho V p - \mu F p\| + \|Sp - p\| + \frac{a_n}{\alpha_n} \leq M_1, \forall n \geq 1.$$

Hence, from (3.6) we get

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n(\nu - \rho\gamma))\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n(\nu - \rho\gamma)\frac{M_1}{(\nu - \rho\gamma)}.$$

By induction, we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \max\left\{\|x_1 - p\|, \frac{M}{(\nu - \rho\gamma)}\right\}.$$

Therefore, we obtain that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. So, the sequences $\{y_n\}$,$\{z_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ are bounded. □

Lemma 7. *Assume that* $(C1)$-$(C3)$ *hold and* $p \in F$. *Let* $\{x_n\}$ *be the sequence generated by (3.1). Then, the followings are hold:*

(i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$.

(ii) *The weak w-limit set* $w_w(x_n) \subset Fix(T)$.

Proof. (i) Since the metric projection P_C and the mapping $(I - \lambda_n A)$ are nonexpansive, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n - z_{n-1}\| &= \|P_C(u_n - \lambda_n A u_n) - P_C(u_{n-1} - \lambda_{n-1} A u_{n-1})\| \\
&\leq \|(u_n - \lambda_n A u_n) - (u_{n-1} - \lambda_{n-1} A u_{n-1})\| \\
&= \|u_n - u_{n-1} - \lambda_n (A u_n - A u_{n-1}) - (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}) A u_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \|u_n - u_{n-1} - \lambda_n (A u_n - A u_{n-1})\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}| \|A u_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}| \|A u_{n-1}\|, \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| &= \|P_C[\beta_n S x_n + (1-\beta_n) z_n] \\
&\quad - P_C\left[\beta_{n-1} S x_{n-1} - \left(1-\beta_{n-1}\right) z_{n-1}\right]\| \\
&\leq \left\|\beta_n S x_n + (1-\beta_n) z_n - \beta_{n-1} S x_{n-1} + \left(1-\beta_{n-1}\right) z_{n-1}\right\| \\
&\leq \left\|\beta_n (S x_n - S x_{n-1}) + \left(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}\right) S x_{n-1}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1-\beta_n)(z_n - z_{n-1}) + \left(\beta_{n-1} - \beta_n\right) z_{n-1}\right\| \\
&\leq \beta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (1-\beta_n) \|z_n - z_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + \left|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}\right| (\|S x_{n-1}\| + \|z_{n-1}\|) \\
&\leq \beta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (1-\beta_n) [\|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}| \|A u_{n-1}\|] \\
&\quad + \left|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}\right| (\|S x_{n-1}\| + \|z_{n-1}\|). \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other side, since $u_n = T_{r_n}(x_n - r_n B x_n)$ and $u_{n-1} = T_{r_{n-1}}(x_{n-1} - r_{n-1} B x_{n-1})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle B x_n, y - u_n\rangle \\
&+\tfrac{1}{r_n}\langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(u_{n-1}, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_{n-1}) + \langle B x_{n-1}, y - u_{n-1}\rangle \\
&+\tfrac{1}{r_{n-1}}\langle y - u_{n-1}, u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

If we take $y = u_{n-1}$ in (3.9) and $y = u_n$ in (3.10), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(u_n, u_{n-1}) + \varphi(u_{n-1}) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle B x_n, u_{n-1} - u_n\rangle \\
&+\tfrac{1}{r_n}\langle u_{n-1} - u_n, u_n - x_n\rangle \geq 0, \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(u_{n-1}, u_n) + \varphi(u_n) - \varphi(u_{n-1}) + \langle B x_{n-1}, u_n - u_{n-1}\rangle \\
&+\tfrac{1}{r_{n-1}}\langle u_n - u_{n-1}, u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\rangle \geq 0. \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

By using the monotonicity of the bifunction G and the inequalitites (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\langle B x_{n-1} - B x_n, u_n - u_{n-1}\rangle + \left\langle u_n - u_{n-1}, \frac{u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}}{r_{n-1}} - \frac{u_n - x_n}{r_n}\right\rangle \geq 0.$$

It follows from the last inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \left\langle u_n - u_{n-1}, r_n\left(Bx_{n-1} - Bx_n\right) + \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}\left(u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\right) - \left(u_n - x_n\right)\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle u_{n-1} - u_n, u_n - u_{n-1} + \left(1 - \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}\right)u_{n-1}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(x_{n-1} - r_n Bx_{n-1}\right) - \left(x_n - r_n Bx_n\right) - x_{n-1} + \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}x_{n-1}\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle u_{n-1} - u_n, \left(1 - \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}\right)u_{n-1} + \left(x_{n-1} - r_n Bx_{n-1}\right)\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(x_n - r_n Bx_n\right) - x_{n-1} + \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}x_{n-1}\right\rangle - \left\|u_n - u_{n-1}\right\|^2 \\
&= \left\langle u_{n-1} - u_n, \left(1 - \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}\right)\left(u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\right)\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(x_{n-1} - r_n Bx_{n-1}\right) - \left(x_n - r_n Bx_n\right)\right\rangle - \left\|u_n - u_{n-1}\right\|^2 \\
&\leq \left\|u_{n-1} - u_n\right\|\left\{\left|1 - \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}\right|\left\|u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\right\|\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left\|\left(x_{n-1} - r_n Bx_{n-1}\right) - \left(x_n - r_n Bx_n\right)\right\|\right\} - \left\|u_n - u_{n-1}\right\|^2 \\
&\leq \left\|u_{n-1} - u_n\right\|\left\{\left|1 - \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}\right|\left\|u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\right\|\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left\|x_{n-1} - x_n\right\|\right\} - \left\|u_n - u_{n-1}\right\|^2. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.13), we have

$$\left\|u_{n-1} - u_n\right\| \leq \left|1 - \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}\right|\left\|u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\right\| + \left\|x_{n-1} - x_n\right\|.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a real number μ such that $r_n > \mu > 0$ for all positive integers n. Then, we have

$$\left\|u_{n-1} - u_n\right\| \leq \left\|x_{n-1} - x_n\right\| + \frac{1}{\mu}\left|r_{n-1} - r_n\right|\left\|u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\right\|. \qquad (3.14)$$

(3.8) and (3.14) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_n - y_{n-1}\right\| &\leq \beta_n\left\|x_n - x_{n-1}\right\| \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \beta_n\right)\left[\left\|x_{n-1} - x_n\right\| + \frac{1}{\mu}\left|r_{n-1} - r_n\right|\left\|u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\right\|\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left|\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}\right|\left\|Au_{n-1}\right\|\right] + \left|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}\right|\left(\left\|Sx_{n-1}\right\| + \left\|z_{n-1}\right\|\right) \\
&= \left\|x_n - x_{n-1}\right\| + \left(1 - \beta_n\right)\left[\frac{1}{\mu}\left|r_{n-1} - r_n\right|\left\|u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\right\|\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left|\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}\right|\left\|Au_{n-1}\right\|\right] + \left|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}\right|\left(\left\|Sx_{n-1}\right\| + \left\|z_{n-1}\right\|\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1}-x_n\| &= \|P_C t_n - P_C t_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \|t_n - t_{n-1}\| \\
&= \|\alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I-\alpha_n \mu F) T^n y_n \\
&\quad -\alpha_{n-1}\rho V x_{n-1} + (I-\alpha_{n-1}\mu F) T^{n-1} y_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \|\alpha_n \rho V (x_n - x_{n-1}) + (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}) \rho V x_{n-1} \\
&\quad + (I-\alpha_n \mu F) T^n y_n - (I-\alpha_n \mu F) T^n y_{n-1} \\
&\quad + T^n y_{n-1} - T^{n-1} y_{n-1} \\
&\quad + \alpha_{n-1}\mu F T^{n-1} y_{n-1} - \alpha_n \mu F T^n y_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n \rho\gamma \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \gamma |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|V x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + (1-\alpha_n \nu) \|T^n y_n - T^n y_{n-1}\| + \|T^n y_{n-1} - T^{n-1} y_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + \mu \|\alpha_{n-1} F T^{n-1} y_{n-1} - \alpha_n F T^n y_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n \rho\gamma \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \gamma |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|V x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + (1-\alpha_n \nu) [\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| + a_n] + \|T^n y_{n-1} - T^{n-1} y_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + \mu \|\alpha_{n-1} \left(F T^{n-1} y_{n-1} - F T^n y_{n-1}\right) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}) F T^n y_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n \rho\gamma \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \gamma |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|V x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + (1-\alpha_n \nu) \{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + (1-\beta_n) \left[\frac{1}{\mu} |r_{n-1} - r_n| \|u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}| \|A u_{n-1}\|\right] \\
&\quad + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| (\|S x_{n-1}\| + \|z_{n-1}\|)\} \\
&\quad + (1-\alpha_n \nu) a_n + \mathfrak{D}_B \left(T_n, T^{n-1}\right) \\
&\quad + \mu \alpha_{n-1} L \|T^n y_{n-1} - T^{n-1} y_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|F T^n y_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n (\nu - \rho\gamma)) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| (\gamma \|V x_{n-1}\| + \|F T^n y_{n-1}\|) \\
&\quad + (1 + \mu\alpha_{n-1} L) \|T^n y_{n-1} - T^{n-1} y_{n-1}\| + a_n \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\mu} |r_{n-1} - r_n| \|u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}| \|A u_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| (\|S x_{n-1}\| + \|z_{n-1}\|) \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n (\nu - \rho\gamma)) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (1 + \mu\alpha_{n-1} L) \|T^n y_{n-1} - T^{n-1} y_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + M_2 \left(|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + \frac{1}{\mu} |r_{n-1} - r_n| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \right) + a_n \qquad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 &= \max \left\{ \sup_{n\geq 1} (\gamma \|V x_{n-1}\| + \|F T^n y_{n-1}\|), \ \sup_{n\geq 1} \|u_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\|, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sup_{n\geq 1} \|A u_{n-1}\|, \ \sup_{n\geq 1} (\|S x_{n-1}\| + \|z_{n-1}\|) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n (\nu - \rho\gamma)) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \alpha_n (\nu - \rho\gamma) \delta_n, \qquad (3.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_n &= \frac{1}{(\nu-\rho\gamma)}\left[(1+\mu\alpha_{n-1}L)\frac{\left\|T^n y_{n-1}-T^{n-1}y_{n-1}\right\|}{\alpha_n}\right.\\ &+\frac{a_n}{\alpha_n}+M_2\left(\frac{|\alpha_n-\alpha_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n}+\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{|r_{n-1}-r_n|}{\alpha_n}\right.\\ &\left.\left.+\frac{|\lambda_n-\lambda_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n}+\frac{\left|\beta_n-\beta_{n-1}\right|}{\alpha_n}\right)\right].\end{aligned}$$

By using conditions $(C2)$ and $(C3)$, we get

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\delta_n \leq 0. \tag{3.17}$$

So, it follows from (3.16), (3.17) and Lemma 3 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_{n+1}-x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

(ii) Now, we show that the weak w-limit set of $\{x_n\}$ is a subset of the set of fixed points of T. To show that, we need to show $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n-x_n\| = 0$. Let $p \in F$.

Then, by using (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1}-p\|^2 &\leq \|t_n-p\|^2 \\
&= \|\alpha_n\rho V x_n+(I-\alpha_n\mu F)T^n y_n-p\|^2 \\
&= \|\alpha_n\rho V x_n-\alpha_n\mu Fp+(I-\alpha_n\mu F)T^n y_n-(I-\alpha_n\mu F)T^n p\|^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|\rho V x_n-\mu Fp\|^2+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\left(\|y_n-p\|+a_n\right)^2 \\
&= \alpha_n\|\rho V x_n-\mu Fp\|^2 \\
&\quad +(1-\alpha_n\nu)\left(\|y_n-p\|^2+2a_n\|y_n-p\|+a_n^2\right) \\
&= \alpha_n\|\rho V x_n-\mu Fp\|^2+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\|y_n-p\|^2 \\
&\quad +2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|\rho V x_n-\mu Fp\|^2+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\Big[\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2 \\
&\quad +(1-\beta_n)\|z_n-p\|^2\Big]+2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2 \\
&= \alpha_n\|\rho V x_n-\mu Fp\|^2+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2 \\
&\quad +(1-\alpha_n\nu)(1-\beta_n)\|z_n-p\|^2 \\
&\quad +2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|\rho V x_n-\mu Fp\|^2+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2 \\
&\quad +(1-\alpha_n\nu)(1-\beta_n)\Big[\|x_n-p\|^2-r_n(2\theta-r_n)\|Bx_n-Bp\|^2 \\
&\quad -\lambda_n(2\alpha-\lambda_n)\|Au_n-Ap\|^2\Big] \\
&\quad +2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|\rho V x_n-\mu Fp\|^2+\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2+\|x_n-p\|^2 \\
&\quad -(1-\alpha_n\nu)(1-\beta_n)\Big[r_n(2\theta-r_n)\|Bx_n-Bp\|^2 \\
&\quad +\lambda_n(2\alpha-\lambda_n)\|Au_n-Ap\|^2\Big] \\
&\quad +2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2 \qquad (3.19)
\end{aligned}$$

The inequality (3.19) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\alpha_n\nu)(1-\beta_n)\left\{r_n(2\theta-r_n)\|Bx_n-Bp\|^2+\lambda_n(2\alpha-\lambda_n)\|Au_n-Ap\|^2\right\} \\
\leq\ &\alpha_n\|\rho V x_n-\mu Fp\|^2+\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2+\|x_n-p\|^2-\|x_{n+1}-p\|^2 \\
&+2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2 \\
\leq\ &\alpha_n\|\rho V x_n-\mu Fp\|^2+\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2+(\|x_n-p\|+\|x_{n+1}-p\|)\|x_{n+1}-p\| \\
&+2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So, it follows from (3.18), conditions $(C1)$ and $(C2)$ that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|Bx_n-Bp\|=0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|Au_n-Ap\|=0$. On the other side, we know from Lemma 4 that T_{r_n}

is firmly nonexpansive mapping, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_n-p\|^2 &= \|T_{r_n}(x_n-r_nBx_n)-T_{r_n}(p-r_nBp)\|^2\\ &\le \langle u_n-p,(x_n-r_nBx_n)-(p-r_nBp)\rangle\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\|u_n-p\|^2+\|(x_n-r_nBx_n)-(p-r_nBp)\|^2\\ &\quad -\|u_n-p-[(x_n-r_nBx_n)-(p-r_nBp)]\|^2\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_n-p\|^2 &\le \|(x_n-r_nBx_n)-(p-r_nBp)\|^2\\ &\quad -\|u_n-x_n+r_n(Bx_n-Bp)\|^2\\ &\le \|x_n-p\|^2-\|u_n-x_n+r_n(Bx_n-Bp)\|^2\\ &\le \|x_n-p\|^2-\|u_n-x_n\|^2\\ &\quad +2r_n\|u_n-x_n\|\|Bx_n-Bp\|. \end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

Then, from (3.3), (3.19) and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1}-p\|^2 &\le \alpha_n\|\rho Vx_n-\mu Fp\|^2+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\Big[\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2\\ &\quad +(1-\beta_n)\|z_n-p\|^2\Big]+2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2\\ &\le \alpha_n\|\rho Vx_n-\mu Fp\|^2+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\Big[\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2\\ &\quad +(1-\beta_n)\|u_n-p\|^2\Big]+2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2\\ &\le \alpha_n\|\rho Vx_n-\mu Fp\|^2+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\Big[\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2\\ &\quad +(1-\beta_n)\Big(\|x_n-p\|^2-\|u_n-x_n\|^2\\ &\quad +2r_n\|u_n-x_n\|\|Bx_n-Bp\|)]\\ &\quad +2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2\\ &\le \alpha_n\|\rho Vx_n-\mu Fp\|^2+\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2+\|x_n-p\|^2\\ &\quad -(1-\alpha_n\nu)(1-\beta_n)\|u_n-x_n\|^2+2r_n\|u_n-x_n\|\|Bx_n-Bp\|\\ &\quad +2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2.\end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-\alpha_n\nu)(1-\beta_n)\|u_n-x_n\|^2\\ \le\ &\alpha_n\|\rho Vx_n-\mu Fp\|^2+\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2\\ &+\|x_n-p\|^2-\|x_{n+1}-p\|^2+2r_n\|u_n-x_n\|\|Bx_n-Bp\|\\ &+2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2\\ \le\ &\alpha_n\|\rho Vx_n-\mu Fp\|^2+\beta_n\|Sx_n-p\|^2\\ &+(\|x_n-p\|+\|x_{n+1}-p\|)\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|\\ &+2r_n\|u_n-x_n\|\|Bx_n-Bp\|\\ &+2(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|Bx_n - Bp\| = 0$ and $\{\|y_n - p\|\}$ is a bounded sequence, it follows from (3.18) and conditions $(C1)$, $(C2)$ that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

On the other hand, from the property (2) of the metric projection, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n - p\|^2 &= \|P_C(u_n - \lambda_n Au_n) - P_C(p - \lambda_n Ap)\|^2 \\
&\leq \langle z_n - p, (u_n - \lambda_n Au_n) - (p - \lambda_n Ap)\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\|z_n - p\|^2 + \|u_n - p(Au_n - Ap)\|^2 \\
&\quad - \|u_n - p - \lambda_n(Au_n - Ap) - (z_n - p)\|^2\Big\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\|z_n - p\|^2 + \|u_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - \|u_n - z_n - \lambda_n(Au_n - Ap)\|^2\Big\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\|z_n - p\|^2 + \|u_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - \|u_n - z_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n\langle u_n - z_n, Au_n - Ap\rangle\Big\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\|z_n - p\|^2 + \|u_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\lambda_n\|u_n - z_n\|\|Au_n - Ap\|\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n - p\|^2 &\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\lambda_n\|u_n - z_n\|\|Au_n - Ap\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\lambda_n\|u_n - z_n\|\|Au_n - Ap\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.19) and (3.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n\|\rho Vx_n - \mu Fp\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n\nu)\Big[\beta_n\|Sx_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad + (1 - \beta_n)\|z_n - p\|^2\Big] + 2(1 - \alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n\nu)a_n^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|\rho Vx_n - \mu Fp\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n\nu)\Big[\beta_n\|Sx_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad + (1 - \beta_n)\Big(\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\lambda_n\|u_n - z_n\|\|Au_n - Ap\|)] \\
&\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n\nu)a_n^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|\rho Vx_n - \mu Fp\|^2 + \beta_n\|Sx_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - (1 - \alpha_n\nu)\beta_n\|u_n - z_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n\|u_n - z_n\|\|Au_n - Ap\| \\
&\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n\nu)a_n\|y_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n\nu)a_n^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1-\alpha_n\nu)\,\beta_n\,\|u_n-z_n\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n\,\|\rho V x_n-\mu F p\|^2+\beta_n\,\|Sx_n-p\|^2\\ &\quad+\|x_n-p\|^2-\|x_{n+1}-p\|^2\\ &\quad+2\lambda_n\,\|u_n-z_n\|\,\|Au_n-Ap\|\\ &\quad+2\,(1-\alpha_n\nu)\,a_n\,\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\,a_n^2\\ &\leq \alpha_n\,\|\rho V x_n-\mu F p\|^2+\beta_n\,\|Sx_n-p\|^2\\ &\quad+(\|x_n-p\|+\|x_{n+1}-p\|)\,\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|\\ &\quad+2\lambda_n\,\|u_n-z_n\|\,\|Au_n-Ap\|\\ &\quad+2\,(1-\alpha_n\nu)\,a_n\,\|y_n-p\|+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\,a_n^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|Au_n-Ap\|=0$ and $\{\|y_n-p\|\}$ is a bounded sequence, it follows from (3.18) and conditions $(C1)$ and $(C2)$ that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n-z_n\|=0. \tag{3.23}$$

Also, by using (3.21) and (3.23), we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n-z_n\|=0. \tag{3.24}$$

On the other side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n-y_n\| &\leq \|x_n-u_n\|+\|u_n-z_n\|+\|z_n-y_n\|\\ &= \|x_n-u_n\|+\|u_n-z_n\|+\beta_n\,(Sx_n-z_n)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta_n=0$, again from (3.21) and (3.23), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n-y_n\|=0. \tag{3.25}$$

Now, we show that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n-Tx_n\|=0$. Before that, we need to show that $\lim_{m\to\infty}(\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n-T^m x_n\|)=0$. For $n\geq m\geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^n y_n-T^m x_n\| &\leq \left\|T^n y_n-T^{n-1}y_n\right\|+\left\|T^{n-1}y_n-T^{n-2}y_n\right\|\\ &\quad+\cdots+\|T^m y_n-T^m x_n\|\\ &\leq \left\|T^n y_n-T^{n-1}y_n\right\|+\left\|T^{n-1}y_n-T^{n-2}y_n\right\|\\ &\quad+\cdots+\|y_n-x_n\|+a_m,\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1}-T^m x_n\| &= \|P_C t_n-P_C T^m x_n\|\\ &\leq \|\alpha_n\rho V x_n+(I-\alpha_n\mu F)\,T^n y_n-T^m x_n\|\\ &\leq \alpha_n\,\|\rho V x_n-\mu F T^n y_n\|+\|T^n y_n-T^m x_n\|\\ &\leq \alpha_n\,\|\rho V x_n-\mu F T^n y_n\|+\left\|T^n y_n-T^{n-1}y_n\right\|\\ &\quad+\left\|T^{n-1}y_n-T^{n-2}y_n\right\|+\cdots+\|y_n-x_n\|+a_m.\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Hence, we obtain from (3.26) and (3.27)

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n-T^m x_n\| &\leq \|x_n-x_{n+1}\|+\|x_{n+1}-T^m x_n\|\\ &\leq \|x_n-x_{n+1}\|+\alpha_n\,\|\rho V x_n-\mu F T^n y_n\|\\ &\quad+\left\|T^n y_n-T^{n-1}y_n\right\|+\left\|T^{n-1}y_n-T^{n-2}y_n\right\|\\ &\quad+\cdots+\|y_n-x_n\|+a_m\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\rho V x_n - \mu F T^n y_n\|$ is bounded and $a_n \to 0$, it follows from (3.18), (3.25), conditions $(C1)$ and $(C3)$ that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n - T^m x_n\|\right) = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

By using (3.28) and condition $(C3)$, we have

$$\|x_n - Tx_n\| \leq \|x_n - T^m x_n\| + \|T^m x_n - Tx_n\| \to 0, \text{ as } n, m \to \infty.$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, there exists a weak convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$. Let $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup w$ as $k \to \infty$. Then, Opial's condition guarantee that the weakly subsequential limit of $\{x_n\}$ is unique. Hence, this implies that $x_n \rightharpoonup w$, as $n \to \infty$. So, it follows from (3.28), Theorem 1 and Lemma 5 that $w \in Fix(T)$. Therefore, $w_w(x_n) \subset Fix(T)$. □

Theorem 2. *Assume that $(C1)$-$(C3)$ hold. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.1) converges strongly to $x^* \in F$, which is the unique solution of the variational inequality*

$$\langle (\rho V - \mu F) x^*, x - x^* \rangle \leq 0, \ \forall x \in F. \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. From Lemma 1, since the operator $\mu F - \rho V$ is $(\mu\eta - \rho\gamma)$-strongly monotone we get the uniqueness of the solution of the variational inequality (3.29). Let denote this solution by $x^* \in F$.

Now, we divide our proof into three steps.

Step 1. From Lemma 6, since $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence, there exists an element w such that $x_n \rightharpoonup w$. Now, we show that $w \in F = Fix(T) \cap \Omega \cap GMEP(G, \varphi, B)$. Firstly, it follows from Lemma 7 (ii) that $w \in Fix(T)$. Secondly, we show that $w \in GMEP(G, \varphi, B)$. Since $u_n = T_{r_n}(x_n - r_n B x_n)$, we have

$$G(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle Bx_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n}\langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C.$$

which implies that

$$\varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle Bx_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n}\langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq G(y, u_n), \forall y \in C,$$

and hence

$$\varphi(y) - \varphi(u_{n_k}) + \langle Bx_{n_k}, y - u_{n_k} \rangle + \left\langle y - u_{n_k}, \frac{u_{n_k} - x_{n_k}}{r_{n_k}} \right\rangle \geq G(y, u_{n_k}), \forall y \in C. \tag{3.30}$$

Let $y \in C$ and $y_t = ty + (1-t)w$, for $t \in (0, 1]$. Then, $y_t \in C$. From (3.30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle By_t, y_t - u_{n_k} \rangle &\geq \langle By_t, y_t - u_{n_k} \rangle - \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(u_{n_k}) - \langle Bx_{n_k}, y_t - u_{n_k} \rangle \\
&\quad - \left\langle y_t - u_{n_k}, \frac{u_{n_k} - x_{n_k}}{r_{n_k}} \right\rangle + G(y_t, u_{n_k}) \\
&= \langle By_t - Bx_{n_k}, y_t - u_{n_k} \rangle + \langle Bu_{n_k} - Bx_{n_k}, y_t - u_{n_k} \rangle - \varphi(y_t) \\
&\quad + \varphi(u_{n_k}) - \left\langle y_t - u_{n_k}, \frac{u_{n_k} - x_{n_k}}{r_{n_k}} \right\rangle + G(y_t, u_{n_k}). \qquad (3.31)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since B is Lipschitz continuous, using (3.21) we obtain $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|Bu_{n_k} - Bx_{n_k}\| = 0$. So, it follows from (3.31), $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup w$ and the monotonicity of B that

$$\langle By_t, y_t - w \rangle \geq G(y_t, w) - \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(w). \tag{3.32}$$

By using the inequality (3.32) and assumptions (A1)-(A4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= G(y_t, y_t) + \varphi(y_t) - \varphi(y_t) \\ &\leq tG(y_t, y) + (1-t)G(y_t, w) + t\varphi(y) + (1-t)\varphi(w) - \varphi(y_t) \\ &= t[G(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t)] + (1-t)[G(y_t, w) + \varphi(w) - \varphi(y_t)] \\ &\leq t[G(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t)] + (1-t)\langle By_t, y_t - w\rangle \\ &= t[G(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t)] + (1-t)t\langle By_t, y - w\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality implies that

$$G(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t) + (1-t)\langle By_t, y - w\rangle \geq 0.$$

If we take limit as $t \to 0^+$ for all $y \in C$, we get

$$G(w, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(w) + \langle Bw, y - w\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C.$$

Hence, we have $w \in GMEP(G, \varphi, B)$. Finally, we show that $w \in \Omega$. Let $N_C v$ be the normal cone to C at $v \in C$, i.e.,

$$N_C v = \{w \in H : \langle v - u, w\rangle \geq 0, \forall u \in C\}.$$

Let K be a mapping defined by

$$Kv = \begin{cases} Av + N_C v & , v \in C, \\ \emptyset & , v \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then, it is known that K is maximal monotone mapping. Let $(v, u) \in G(K)$. From the definition of the the mapping K, since $u - Av \in N_C v$ and $z_n \in C$, we get

$$\langle v - z_n, u - Av\rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.33}$$

Also, by using the definition of z_n, we get

$$\langle v - z_n, z_n - u_n - \lambda_n Au_n\rangle \geq 0$$

and so,

$$\left\langle v - z_n, \frac{z_n - u_n}{\lambda_n} + Au_n \right\rangle \geq 0.$$

Hence, from (3.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v - z_{n_i}, u\rangle &\geq \langle v - z_{n_i}, Av\rangle \\ &\geq \langle v - z_{n_i}, Av\rangle - \left\langle v - z_{n_i}, \frac{z_{n_i} - u_{n_i}}{\lambda_{n_i}} + Au_{n_i} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle v - z_{n_i}, Av - Au_{n_i} - \frac{z_{n_i} - u_{n_i}}{\lambda_{n_i}} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle v - z_{n_i}, Av - Az_{n_i}\rangle + \langle v - z_{n_i}, Az_{n_i} - Au_{n_i}\rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle v - z_{n_i}, \frac{z_{n_i} - u_{n_i}}{\lambda_{n_i}} \right\rangle \\ &\geq \langle v - z_{n_i}, Az_{n_i} - Au_{n_i}\rangle - \left\langle v - z_{n_i}, \frac{z_{n_i} - u_{n_i}}{\lambda_{n_i}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

So, it follows from (3.21), (3.23) and (3.24) that $u_{n_i} \rightharpoonup w$ and $z_{n_i} \rightharpoonup w$ for $i \to \infty$. So, from (3.34), we have

$$\langle v - w, u\rangle \geq 0.$$

Since K is maximal monotone, we have $w \in K^{-1}0$ and hence $w \in \Omega$. Thus, we obtain $w \in F = Fix(T) \cap \Omega \cap GMEP(G)$.

Step 2. In this step, we show that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle (\rho V - \mu F) x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle \leq 0$, where x^* is the unique solution of the variational inequality (3.29). Since the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, it has a weak convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle (\rho V - \mu F) x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \limsup_{k\to\infty} \langle (\rho V - \mu F) x^*, x_{n_k} - x^* \rangle .$$

Let $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup w$, as $k \to \infty$. Since the Opial condition guarantee that $x_n \rightharpoonup w$, we know from Step 1 that $w \in F$. Hence

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle (\rho V - \mu F) x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \langle (\rho V - \mu F) x^*, w - x^* \rangle \leq 0.$$

Step 3. Finally, we show that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.1) converges strongly to the point x^* which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (3.29). From the definition of the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &= \langle P_C t_n - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle P_C t_n - t_n, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \langle t_n - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Also, from the property (3) of the metric projection P_C, since it satisfies the inequality

$$\langle x - P_C x, y - P_C x \rangle \leq 0, \, \forall x \in H, \, y \in C,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \langle t_n - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T^n y_n - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha_n (\rho V x_n - \mu F x^*) + (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T^n y_n \\ &\quad - (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T^n x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &= \alpha_n \rho \langle V x_n - V x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \alpha_n \langle \rho V x^* - \mu F x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T^n y_n - (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T^n x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

So, from Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n\rho\gamma\|x_n-x^*\|\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|+\alpha_n\langle\rho Vx^*-\mu Fx^*,x_{n+1}-x^*\rangle\\
&\quad+(1-\alpha_n\nu)(\|y_n-x^*\|+a_n)\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\\
&\leq \alpha_n\rho\gamma\|x_n-x^*\|\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|+\alpha_n\langle\rho Vx^*-\mu Fx^*,x_{n+1}-x^*\rangle\\
&\quad+(1-\alpha_n\nu)(\beta_n\|x_n-x^*\|+\beta_n\|Sx^*-x^*\|\\
&\quad+(1-\beta_n)\|z_n-x^*\|+a_n)\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\\
&\leq \alpha_n\rho\gamma\|x_n-x^*\|\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|+\alpha_n\langle\rho Vx^*-\mu Fx^*,x_{n+1}-x^*\rangle\\
&\quad+(1-\alpha_n\nu)(\beta_n\|x_n-x^*\|+\beta_n\|Sx^*-x^*\|\\
&\quad+(1-\beta_n)\|x_n-x^*\|+a_n)\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n(\nu-\rho\gamma))\|x_n-x^*\|\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\\
&\quad+\alpha_n\langle\rho Vx^*-\mu Fx^*,x_{n+1}-x^*\rangle\\
&\quad+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\beta_n\|Sx^*-x^*\|\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\\
&\quad+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\\
&\leq \frac{(1-\alpha_n(\nu-\rho\gamma))}{2}\left(\|x_n-x^*\|^2+\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|^2\right)\\
&\quad+\alpha_n\langle\rho Vx^*-\mu Fx^*,x_{n+1}-x^*\rangle\\
&\quad+(1-\alpha_n\nu)\beta_n\|Sx^*-x^*\|\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\\
&\quad+(1-\alpha_n\nu)a_n\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|.
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|^2 &\leq \frac{(1-\alpha_n(\nu-\rho\gamma))}{(1+\alpha_n(\nu-\rho\gamma))}\|x_n-x^*\|^2\\
&\quad+\frac{2\alpha_n}{(1+\alpha_n(\nu-\rho\gamma))}\langle\rho Vx^*-\mu Fx^*,x_{n+1}-x^*\rangle\\
&\quad+\frac{2\beta_n}{(1+\alpha_n(-\rho\gamma))}\|Sx^*-x^*\|\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\\
&\quad+\frac{2a_n}{(1+\alpha_n(\nu-\rho\gamma))}\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n(\nu-\rho\gamma))\|x_n-x^*\|^2+\alpha_n(\nu-\rho\gamma)\theta_n
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta_n=\frac{2}{(1+\alpha_n(\nu-\rho\gamma))(\nu-\rho\gamma)}\left[\begin{array}{c}\langle\rho Vx^*-\mu Fx^*,x_{n+1}-x^*\rangle+\frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}M_3\\ +\frac{a_n}{\alpha_n}\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\end{array}\right],$$

and

$$\sup_{n\geq1}\{\|Sx^*-x^*\|\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|\}\leq M_3.$$

Since $\frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}\to0$ and $\frac{a_n}{\alpha_n}\to0$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\theta_n\leq0.$$

So, it follows from Lemma 3 that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.1) converges strongly to $x^*\in F$ which is the unique solution of variational inequality (3.29). □

Remark 1. *Under the suitable assumptions on parameters and operators in Theorem 2, we have the corresponding results of Yao et. al. [8], Marino and Xu [12], Ceng et. al. [17], Wang and Xu [18], Moudafi [20], Xu [21], Tian [23] and Suzuki [24]. So, our iterative process is a generalization form of many iteraitve processes studied by above authors.*

Consequence of Theorem 2, we can obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $B : C \to H$ be θ-inverse strongly monotone mapping, $G : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying assumptions (A1)-(A4), $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex function, $S : C \to H$ be a nonexpansive mapping and T be a demicontinuous nearly nonexpansive mapping with the sequence $\{a_n\}$ such that $F := Fix(T) \cap \Omega \cap GMEP(G, \varphi, B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $V : C \to H$ be a γ-Lipschitzian mapping, $F : C \to H$ be a L-Lipschitzian and η-strongly monotone operator such that these coefficients satisfy $0 < \mu < \frac{2\eta}{L^2}$, $0 \leq \rho\gamma < \nu$, where $\nu = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \mu(2\eta - \mu L^2)}$. Assume that either (B1) or (B2) holds. For an arbitrarily initial value $x_1 \in C$, consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in C generated by*

$$\begin{cases} G(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle Bx_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C \\ y_n = P_C[\beta_n S x_n + (1 - \beta_n) u_n], \\ x_{n+1} = P_C[\alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T^n y_n], \ n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.36}$$

where $\{r_n\} \subset (0, 2\theta)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the conditions $(C1)$-$(C3)$ except the condition $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n} = 0$. Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.36) converges strongly to $x^* \in F$, where x^* is the unique solution of variational inequality (3.29).

Corollary 2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $B : C \to H$ be θ-inverse strongly monotone mapping, $G : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying assumptions (A1)-(A4), $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex function and T be a demicontinuous nearly nonexpansive mapping with the sequence $\{a_n\}$ such that $F := Fix(T) \cap \Omega \cap GMEP(G, \varphi, B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $V : C \to H$ be a γ-Lipschitzian mapping, $F : C \to H$ be a L-Lipschitzian and η-strongly monotone operator such that these coefficients satisfy $0 < \mu < \frac{2\eta}{L^2}$, $0 \leq \rho\gamma < \nu$, where $\nu = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \mu(2\eta - \mu L^2)}$. Assume that either (B1) or (B2) holds. For an arbitrarily initial value $x_1 \in C$, consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in C generated by*

$$\begin{cases} G(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle Bx_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C \\ x_{n+1} = P_C[\alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T^n u_n], \ n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.37}$$

where $\{r_n\} \subset (0, 2\theta)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the conditions $(C1)$-$(C3)$ except the conditions $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$, $\frac{|\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n} = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n} = 0$. Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.37) converges strongly to $x^* \in F$, where x^* is the unique solution of the variational inequality (3.29).

Corollary 3. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $G : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying assumptions (A1)-(A4), $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex function, $S : C \to H$ be a nonexpansive mapping and T be a demicontinuous nearly nonexpansive mapping with the sequence $\{a_n\}$*

such that $F := Fix(T) \cap MEP(G,\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. *Let* $V : C \to H$ *be a* γ*-Lipschitzian mapping,* $F : C \to H$ *be a* L*-Lipschitzian and* η*-strongly monotone operator such that these coefficients satisfy* $0 < \mu < \frac{2\eta}{L^2}$, $0 \leq \rho\gamma < \nu$, *where* $\nu = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \mu(2\eta - \mu L^2)}$. *Assume that either (B1) or (B2) holds. For an arbitrarily initial value* x_1, *define the sequence* $\{x_n\}$ *in* C *generated by*

$$\begin{cases} G(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r_n}\langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n\rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C \\ y_n = P_C\left[\beta_n S x_n + (1-\beta_n) u_n\right], \\ x_{n+1} = P_C\left[\alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T^n y_n\right], \ n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.38}$$

where $\{r_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ *and* $\{\beta_n\}$ *are sequences in* $[0,1]$ *satisfying the conditions* $(C1)$-$(C3)$ *except the condition* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}|}{\alpha_n} = 0$. *Then, the sequence* $\{x_n\}$ *generated by (3.38) converges strongly to* $x^* \in Fix(T) \cap MEP(G,\varphi)$, *where* x^* *is the unique solution of variational inequality (3.29).*

Corollary 4. *Let* C *be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space* H. *Let* $A, B : C \to H$ *be* α, θ*-inverse strongly monotone mappings, respectively.* $G : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a bifunction satisfying assumptions (A1)-(A4),* $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a lower semicontinuous and convex function,* $S : C \to H$ *be a nonexpansive mapping and* T *be a nonexpansive mapping such that* $F := Fix(T) \cap \Omega \cap GMEP(G,\varphi,B) \neq \emptyset$. *Let* $V : C \to H$ *be a* γ*-Lipschitzian mapping,* $F : C \to H$ *be a* L*-Lipschitzian and* η*-strongly monotone operator such that these coefficients satisfy* $0 < \mu < \frac{2\eta}{L^2}$, $0 \leq \rho\gamma < \nu$, *where* $\nu = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \mu(2\eta - \mu L^2)}$. *Assume that either (B1) or (B2) holds. For an arbitrarily initial value* $x_1 \in C$, *consider the sequence* $\{x_n\}$ *in* C *generated by (3.1) where* $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, 2\alpha)$, $\{r_n\} \subset (0, 2\theta)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ *and* $\{\beta_n\}$ *are sequences in* $[0,1]$ *satisfying the conditions* $(C1)$-$(C3)$ *of Theorem 2 except the condition* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$. *Then, the sequence* $\{x_n\}$ *converges strongly to* $x^* \in F$, *where* x^* *is the unique solution of the variational inequality (3.29).*

Remark 2. *Our results can be reduced to some corresponding results in the following ways:*

(1) *In our iterative process (3.37), if we take the mapping* T *as nonexpansive,* $G(x,y) = 0, \varphi = 0$ *for all* $x, y \in C$, $B = 0$ *and* $r_n = 1$ *for all* $n \geq 1$, *then we derive the iterative process*

$$x_{n+1} = P_C\left[\alpha_n \rho V x_n + (I - \alpha_n \mu F) T x_n\right], \ n \geq 1,$$

which is studied by Ceng et. al. [17]. So, our results extend the corresponding results of many other authors.

(2) *In our iterative process (3.38), if we take* S *as a nonexpansive self mapping on* C, T *as a nonexpansive mapping, then it is clear that our iterative process generalizes the iterative process of Wang and Xu. [18]. Hence, Theorem 2 generalizes the main result of Wang and Xu [18, Theorem 3.1]. So, our results extend and improve the corresponding results of [22, 23].*

(3) *The problem of finding the solution of variational inequality (3.29), is equivalent to finding the solutions of hierarchical fixed point problem*

$$\langle (I - S) x^*, x^* - x\rangle \leq 0, \forall x \in F,$$

where $S = I - (\rho V - \mu F)$.

References

[1] J. W. Peng and J. C. Yao, A new hybrid-extragradient method for generalized mixed equilibrium problems, fixed point problems and variational inequality problems, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp. 1401-1432, 2008.

[2] M. Liu, S. Chang, and P. Zuo, On a hybrid method for generalized mixed equilibrium problem and fixed point problem of a family of quasi-φ-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Article ID 157278, 18 pages, 2010.

[3] S. Saewan and P. Kumam, A Hybrid Iterative Scheme for a Maximal Monotone Operator and Two Countable Families of Relatively Quasi-Nonexpansive Mappings for Generalized Mixed Equilibrium and Variational Inequality Problems, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Article ID 123027, 31 pages, 2010.

[4] Y. Shehu, Iterative approximation of zeroes of monotone operators and system of generalized mixed equilibrium problems, Optimization Letters, Vol. 6, no. 7, pp 1485-1497, 2012.

[5] L. C. Ceng and J.C. Yao, A relaxed extragradient-like method for a generalized mixed equilibrium problem, a general system of generalized equilibria and a fixed point problem, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, vol. 72, no. 3-4, pp 1922–1937, 2010.

[6] N. Petrot, K. Wattanawitoon, and P. Kumam, A hybrid projection method for generalized mixed equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, vol. 4, no. 4, pp 631–643, 2010.

[7] F. Cianciaruso, G. Marino, L. Muglia, Y. Yao, On a two-steps algorithm for hierarchical fixed point problems and variational inequalities. J. Inequal. Appl., 1-13, 2009.

[8] Y. Yao, Y.J. Cho, Y.C. Liou, Iterative algorithms for hierarchical fixed points problems and variational inequalities. Math. Comput. Model. 52 (9-10), 1697-1705, 2010.

[9] G. Gu, S. Wang, Y.J. Cho, Strong convergence algorithms for hierarchical fixed points problems and variational inequalities. J. Appl. Math. 2011, 1-17, 2011.

[10] Y. Yao, R. Chen, Regularized algorithms for hierarchical fixed-point problems, Nonlinear Analysis, 74, 6826–6834, 2011.

[11] F. Cianciaruso, V. Colao, L. Muglia, H.K. Xu, "On an implicit hierarchical fixed point approach to variational inequalities", *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 80 (1), 117–124, 2009

[12] G. Marino, H. K. Xu, "Explicit hierarchical fixed point approach to variational inequalities", *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 149(1), 61-78, 2011

[13] H.K. Xu, "Viscosity method for hierarchical fixed point approach to variational inequalities", *Taiwanese J. Math.* 14 (2), 463–478, 2010

[14] WQ. Deng, "New viscosity method for hierarchical fixed point approach to variational inequalities", *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2013-219

[15] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and D. R. Sahu, Iteratıve Constructıon of Fıxed Poınts of Nearly Asymptotıcally Nonexpansıve Mappıngs, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, Vol.8, No.1, 61-79, 2007.

[16] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and D. R. Sahu, Fixed Point Theory for Lipschitzian-Type Mappings with Applications, Topological Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2009.

[17] L. C. Ceng, Q. H. Ansari and J. C. Yao, Some iterative methods for finding fixed points and for solving constrained convex minimization problems. Nonlinear Analysis, 74, 5286-5302, 2011.

[18] Y. Wang and W. Xu, Strong convergence of a modified iterative algorithm for hierarchical fixed point problems and variational inequalities, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., 2013:121, 2013.

[19] A. Bnouhachem and M. A. Noor, An iterative method for approximating the common solutions of a variational inequality, a mixed equilibrium problem and a hierarchical fixed point problem, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2013:490, 2013.

[20] A. Moudafi, "Viscosity approximation methods for fixed point problems", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* 241, 46-55, 2000.

[21] H.K. Xu and T.H.Kim, Convergence of hybrid steepest-descent methods for variational inequalities," Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 119, no. 1, 185–201, 2003.

[22] G. Marino and H.K. Xu, A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 318, 43-52, 2006.

[23] M. Tian, "A general iterative algorithm for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces", *Nonlinear Anal.* 73, 689-694, 2010.
[24] N. Suzuki, "Moudafi's viscosity approximations with Meir-Keeler contractions", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* 325, 342-352, 2007.
[25] I. Yamada, The hybrid steepest-descent method for variational inequality problems over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings. In: Butnariu, D, Censor, Y, Reich, S (eds.) Inherently Parallel Algorithms and Optimization and Their Applications, pp. 473-504. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001.
[26] D. R. Sahu, Fixed points of demicontinuous nearly Lipschitzian mappings in Banach spaces, *Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin.*, 46,4, 653–666, 2005.

*(Corresponding Author) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Erzurum Technical University, Erzurum, 25700, Turkey
E-mail address: ibrahimkarahan@erzurum.edu.tr